# Stage 2 Verification Report Submission Template

Changes to the title and abstract are permitted, however the introduction and method should be identical to the original stage 1 submission unless otherwise agreed with the Editor.

# Preregistration Deviations

Here you should make an explicit note of all deviations from the preregistration, however small, alongside a justification for each.

There were no deviations from preregistration, on the basis that all analyses had been conducted prior to Stage 1 submission and acceptance (i.e., analyses were not truly preregistered, results-blinded for Stage 1 peer review).

# Findings

Provide a comprehensive overview of the findings of the analyses as preregistered. In the case of results which were not preregistered but result from justified deviations from the protocol should clearly be reported under a separate ‘Exploratory Findings’ heading.

# Discussion

Provide a contextualized discussion of the core outcomes from the research, and make clear conclusions about the reproducibility and/or robustness of the original results. Discussion points of limitations, implications, practical applications and future research, as to be expected from a traditional publication, can be included.

# References

Please enter references in the APA style and include a DOI where available.

# Other information required for submission, not for review

Contribution Statement

Please list all contributions towards this manuscript, including their roles and affiliations at the time of data collection.

Ian Hussey was solely responsible for all contributions to this manuscript. I was affiliated with Ghent University, Belgium, when I began this project. I am now affiliated with Ruhr University Bochum, Germany.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Jamie Cummins for feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

I acknowledge that one of the authors of the original article being verified (Prof Dermot Barnes-Holmes) was my PhD supervisor (2010-2015). I have not actively collaborated with Prof Barnes-Holmes since 2015. Articles lead by third parties of which we were both co-authors were published up to 2018. The author declares no other conflict of interest associated with the publication of this manuscript.

Funding statement

IH was supported by Ghent University grant 01P05517 (awarded in 2017) and the META-REP Priority Program of the German Research Foundation (#464488178) (awarded in 2021).

# Stage 2 Checklist

Include a separate page, confirming explicit agreement of the following:

1. In addition to meeting conventional citation standards for published articles, where applicable, references to published data, program code or other methods are cited in the manuscript
2. All study data and materials, including analysis code, have been archived in a publicly accessible repository, and the links have been clearly signposted in the manuscript
3. Confirm that “We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all inclusion/exclusion criteria, whether inclusion/exclusion criteria were established prior to data analysis, all manipulations, and all measures in the study”
4. The Stage 2 manuscript states the exact URL to the registration of the approved Stage 1 protocol on the Open Science Framework (OSF). The journal will have registered the Stage 1 protocol on your behalf at the point of in-principle acceptance, and will have provided you with the URL to this formal registration in the Stage 1 acceptance letter. If you do not know the URL then please contact the editorial office before proceeding further with the current submission. Please note the page number containing the URL. If you chose for your accepted Stage 1 protocol to be registered under a private embargo then please note that the journal will now release the embargo and make the protocol fully public before the Stage 2 manuscript is sent for in-depth review.

I, the single author, Ian Hussey (osf.io/3kzh8), confirm my agreement to all of the above points.